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## Sacramento River Winter Run Chinook salmon

- 1989 propagation at existing facility (Coleman NFH)
- Early to mid 1990s collaboration between USFWS, UCDavis and Arizona State University produces hatchery protocols to minimize negative genetic impacts of spawning and identifies need for rapid-response genetic ID
- 1998 propagation at dedicated facility (Livingston Stone NFH)




## Information needs

- Protocol for accurate run identification of fish trapped at Keswick
- Assignment of individuals, not mixture proportions
- Must be done rapidly enough that decisions to hold or release fish could be made based on results



## Publications featuring genetic assignment tests



# Final Report <br> January 1998 - September 2001 

## GENETIC MAINTENANCE OF HATCHERY- AND NATURAL-ORIGIN WINTER-RUN CHINOOK SALMON

## COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT <br> ANADROMOUS FISH RESTORATION PROGRAM

University of California-Davis/U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service

| $1448-11330-97-\mathrm{J} 194$ | $1 / 1 / 98-12 / 31 / 98$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1448-11330-97-\mathrm{J} 045$ | $1 / 1 / 99-12 / 31 / 99$ |
| $1448-11330-97-\mathrm{J} 045$ | $1 / 1 / 00-12 / 31 / 00$ |
| $1448-11330-97-\mathrm{j} 094$ | $1 / 1 / 01-09 / 30 / 01$ |

Contributers:
Principal Investigators: Dennis Hedgecock (1998-2001)
Michael Banks (1998)
Research Associate: Vanessa Rashbrook (1998-2001)
Postgraduate Researchers: Heather Fitzgerald (1998)
Stephen Sabatino (1999-2001)
Dimitri Churikov (2001)
Computer Programmer: Will Eichert (1999-2001)
Subcontract:
Phil Hedrick (Arizona State University) (1998-2001)

## Changes in the process

## 94 SNPs

7 Microsatellites


## Resolution to ID Winter run



## P (winter-run)

7 microsatellites
95 SNPs



Assignment probability
Assignment probability

## Sample numbers (blue bars) and project costs (yellow line)



## Heterozygosity



## Linkage disequilibrium



## Current challenges

1. Genetic broodstock management changes? Captive brood, matrix spawning, ...
2. Contribution to naturally-spawning population component
3. Impact to Spring Run Chinook salmon?
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## Contribution to NOR

- Large and unknown proportion of parents not sampled
- DNA from carcass samples yielded high PCR failure rate
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## Impact to spring run?

Adults at Red Bluff Diversion Dam

| Phenotypic Assignment | Genetic Assignment |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring | Fall | Winter |
| Spring | 11 | 14 | 0 |
| Fall | 19 | 279 | 1 |
| Winter | 13 | 17 | 9 |



Juveniles on the American River*

| Phenotypic Assignment | Genetic Assignment |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring | Fall | Winter |
| Spring | 4 | 141 | 4 |
| Fall | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| Winter | 1 | 0 | 9 |

*Data provided by Doug Threloff, USFWS

## Impact to spring run?

- FRH spring look more like baseline fall than baseline spring using the markers described here
- Ongoing crossing between fall run and spring run in some tributaries


## Conclusions

- Rapid response protocol developed at BML provided a solution which met USFWS needs for many years, and a model which has been broadly applied internationally
- Nearly two decades of data suggest no loss of genetic diversity in WRCS, but do indicate risk of over-representation of some families, characteristic of many supplemented populations.
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## Reducing relatedness among hatchery spawners
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## Linkage disequilibrium



## P (spring run)

7 microsatellites
95 SNPs
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